

# Energy Transport in Active Galactic Nuclei

J.H. BEALL<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*E.O. Hulburt Center for Space Research, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC,*

<sup>2</sup>*Center for Earth Observing and Space Research, School for Computational Sciences, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, and*

<sup>3</sup>*St. John's College, Annapolis, MD*

**ABSTRACT.** The existence of large-scale jets in some active galaxies appears to be associated with accretion-powered processes in the cores of these objects. In fact, the existence of a “standard model” for AGN suggests that most authors believe that the underlying source for the non-thermal processes in AGN and quasars is essentially the same, and that the differences in jet propagation length (in Seyferts vs. ellipticals, for example) is a matter either of the constitution of the jet or some vagaries in the source environment near the central engine. These questions naturally lead us to consider the problem of energy transport in AGN and quasars. In this paper we discuss some of the issues associated with acceleration efficiencies and energy loss mechanisms for AGN and quasars, and suggest some possible observations that can resolve the questions of the nature of the jets and the efficiency of the acceleration mechanisms that produce them

## 1. Introduction

Accretion onto a compact object represents the most plausible source for the power in many astrophysical settings, including AGN, because of the efficiency of the accretion process relative to the rest mass energy of the object. This is so, somewhat surprisingly, even though the nuclear force is the strongest force and gravity is the weakest. The kinetic luminosity released by accretion is

$$dE/dt \sim (GM(dm/dt))/r \quad (1)$$

where  $M$  is the mass of the compact object,  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $dm/dt$  is the accretion rate, and  $r$  is the radius at which the energy is released.

The escape velocity,  $v$ , is related to the mass of the attracting body by the

$$(1/2)mv^2 \sim GMm/r, \quad (2)$$

and for a compact object,  $v \sim c$ , i.e., the speed of light. Therefore,

$$dE/dt \sim \eta(1/2)(dm/dt)c^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta$  is an efficiency factor usually taken to be  $\sim 10\%$ , and  $c$  is the speed of light. The efficiency for nuclear reactions is  $\sim 1\%$ .

Of course, accretion-powered sources include astrophysical jets.

## 2. Jets in Astrophysics

The more detailed the observations of astrophysical sources, the more that jets seem to appear, to the point where they have become a commonplace in astrophysics. Jets appear to occur in the highly energetic, bipolar flows in star-forming regions (see, e.g., Panagia, 2001, Vittone, 2001, and Lovelace, Romanova, and Contopoulos, 1997), in the Crab Pulsar (which appears to be a conical “jet” of relativistic electrons and positrons - see, e.g. Massaro, 2001), and in galactic microquasars, (i.e., relativistic galactic jets, including SS433 and other systems - see, e.g., Mirabel et al.’s 1992 discussion of 1E1740.7-2942; Cui, 2001, 2002; Parades, 2002). It appears that even the cores of normal galaxies contain baby quasars. This is of course a thesis that Geoff Burbidge posed when the study of AGN was in its infancy.

Good reviews of these issues have been presented in a number of talks in the prior Vulcano workshops, including Catanese (2002) and Sambruna (2002), as well as the talks by Rita Sambruna (2001), Wei Cui (2001), Steve Eikenberry (2001). Wolfgang Kundt’s (2002) discussion of  $e^{+/-}$  flows presents a helpful commentary on leptonic jets..

Active galaxies also contain jet-like structures. Even Seyferts hold linear radio structures confined within their cores, while giant ellipticals and BL Lac objects show evidence for large-scale, linear structures that extend for many thousands of parsecs or emit radiation that must clearly come from bulk, relativistic motion (see, e.g. Rees, Begelman, and Blanford, 1981, for a review).

It is intriguing to note the relationships between the various classes of sources that show jet-like phenomena. In a prior workshop in 1999, Beall, Guillory, and Rose, (2002) have shown the AGN family tree, which on the surface shows a kindredness between the galactic jets and those in AGN (see, also, Blundell et al. 1999).

## 3. Energy Transport Issues

But how can jets of material moving at very high speeds (and even relativistically) maintain this condition as they propagate through the enormous interstellar distances manifest from observations? Or put another way, what is the source of energy that powers the giant radio structures in some AGN and the radio-to- $\gamma$ -ray light in others?

Burbidge (1956) was perhaps the first to bring such an issue to the fore when he commented that the extended jet in M87 requires that the jet be composed (at least in part) of hadronic matter in order to sustain itself against energy losses and allow it to form the extended radio structures in that source. Felten (1968) also showed in a direct extension of Burbidge’s work that an hadronic component was necessary in order for the jets to propagate a significant distance. Felten used the then-known plasma processes to calculate the limits to propagation lengths of a jet composed of hadrons and leptons. Rose et al. (1984, 1987) and Beall (1990) have confirmed these propagation distances even in the face of our current understanding of two-stream plasma processes.

In considering the energetics issues associated with AGN, Beall and Rose (1981) showed that the non-thermal activity in the core of Centaurus A can, when integrated over time, provide the energy estimated to be in Cen A’s giant radio lobes. That is,  $\int dE/dt * dt$  (in the core) =  $E_{tot}$  in radio lobes. The total energy for relativistic elec-

trons producing the synchrotron radiation in the giant radio lobes of Centaurus A is  $\sim 10^{60} \text{ ergs}$ .

Melia and Konigl (1989) have discussed the radiative deceleration of relativistic jets, showing that relativistic flows are tightly constrained in parameter space if they are to emerge from the intense radiation field of the accretion disk in which the jets are supposed to originate. Of course, this thesis holds only if the jet particles are not in some manner re-accelerated. Finally, Hardee (1987) has discussed the spatial stability of relativistic jets against a number of loss processes.

Eichler (1979) first suggested that neutrino detectors could be probes of the very cores of AGN. The successful construction of the next generations of sensitive neutrino detectors could show both the energies and time signatures of hadronic processes. For example,  $\gamma$ -ray and neutrino flares produced by protons accelerated on an accretion disc surface in active galactic nuclei could be detected with coordinated observations by  $\gamma$ -ray and neutrino telescopes. Waxman and Bahcall (1998) have calculated the neutrino flux from AGN, based on the assumption of isotropy. In the presence of jets. However, the more realistic assumption may be an anisotropic production of neutrinos, and such a calculation is, therefore, likely to be quite informative.

Beall (1990) has noted that plasma processes can slow the jets rapidly, thus truncating the low-energy portion of the  $\gamma$ -rays spectrum. This calculation was carried out in some detail by Beall and Bednarek (1999). A similar effect will occur for neutrinos and can also reduce the expected neutrino flux from AGN.

#### 4. Active Galaxies:

The family tree or zoo of active galaxies includes many varieties, including Seyferts and ellipticals. There is considerable evidence for jets in many of these sources.

Short radio jets have been detected in many Seyfert galaxies. Such jets have double-sided on scales of tens of parsecs, but are one-sided on parsec scales. Any number of papers in the literature note the details of these (see, e.g., Ulvestad et al 1999, Bower, G. et. al 1995, Hardt et al 1998, Roy et al. 2001, and Falcke, Markoff, and Biermann, 2001). A search of the ADS abstracts (<http://adsabs.harvard.edu>) yielded 1200 + entries under jets + Seyferts! On average, central luminosity of these sources is the same, but Seyferts are (relatively) radio-quiet compared to their output at other frequencies.

One-sided jets are believed to be caused by bulk relativistic flows, while two-sided jets are usually considered sub-relativistic. The transition from one-sided to two-sided jets within  $\sim 10$ s of parsecs suggests a rather efficient mechanism for decelerating the jets (see, e.g., Beall 1990).

Elliptical galaxies contain jets that extend from parsec to kiloparsec scales. These sources are radio-loud (i.e., the sources have radio luminosities that are on a par with the luminosity of the source at other decades), and are highly variable.

The nearest active galaxy, the radio galaxy NGC 5128 (Centaurus A at 5 Mpc distance), has giant radio lobes with a 5 degree separation on the sky, and smaller, inner radio lobes with 5 arc minutes separation. The connection between radio lobe and core was inferred by Beall et al. (1978), and Beall and Rose, (1981), and firmly established by Feigelson et al. (1981) in a paper using the ROSAT data to map the

x-ray jet as it propagates from the core, outward, toward the inner radio lobe.

Another nearby source, M87 (an active galaxy in the constellation of Leo) bears a similarity to BL Lac objects (see Tsvetanov et al, 1998). Along with Cen A, M87, 3C273, and perhaps 3C390.3, it shows evidence of jets in radio, IR, optical, and x-rays. Of course, the recent work from Sambruna (2001) has increased the number of detected x-ray jets substantially.

The remarkable set of observations of 3C120 using radio maps at various scales shows a one-sided relativistic jet (on a scale of milli-arcseconds) propagating outward to form giant, double radio lobes that extend for kiloparsecs.

Kameno et al (2001) show a dense plasma torus around the nucleus of NGC 1052. The torus is compact and absorbs (free-free?) VLBI radio blobs. Kleijn et al. 2001 shows 21 FR I galaxies with 19 dust lane detections (HST/WFPC2) and radio jets roughly perpendicular to dust lanes.

These observations all suggest that jets can transport outward the energy acquired by accretion in the core of the galaxy. The plausible transport mechanism is via milli-arcsecond jets to parsec-scale jets and in some cases to kiloparsec-scale lobes.

Since giant ellipticals are present at the bottom of the potential well of clusters of galaxies, the presence of the jet can significantly effect the energetics of the intracluster medium.

Scott et al. (1980) first suggested that plasma turbulence from jets propagating through an intracluster medium could produce significant heating of the gas therein. The work of Rose et al. (1984, 86) uses a time-dependent calculation of the wave energy levels produced by such a scenario. Benchmarking of the wave population code using a PIC code (Rose et al. 2001) has confirmed the original Scott et al. hypothesis and has helped to define the precise heating rates that may obtain. At all events, a significant, non-thermal effect from jets from AGN, operating in the gas of the intracluster medium, must be considered as a possible energy source in any modeling of gas temperature and density.

Shock heating is also a possible mechanism for heating of the intracluster gas (see, e.g., Inoue and Sasaki 2001).

## 5. The Origin and Constitution of the Jets:

As noted earlier, the energy that drives the jets in AGN and quasars must come from an accretion process. Yet the details of the acceleration mechanism (or mechanisms) are remarkably difficult to ascertain. For a review of possible acceleration processes, see, e.g., Jets from Stars and Galactic Nuclei (1999), *The Membrane Paradigm*, p.121, on “Astrophysical Applications of Black-Holes”; accretion-disk-threaded B-fields (see, e.g., Lovelace, Romanova, et al. 2002), and Blanford and Znajek 1977); plasma processes (Subramanian, Becker, & Kazanas, 1998); and relativistic shocks (see e.g. Begelman & Kirk 1990, Ostrowski 2002).

The constitution of the jets is equally controversial. Henri, Pelletier, and Roland 1993 have argued that the  $\gamma$ -ray emission of active galactic nuclei can be interpreted as a signature of electron-positron beams. Xie, Liu, and Wang, (1995) suggest that relativistic  $e^+/e^-$  jets can produce the observed spectra and variability in BL Lacertae

objects. Hartman et al. 2001, in a multi-epoch, multiwavelength study of the spectral variability of the Blazar, 3C279, also sites electron-positron jets as a possible origin of the observed radiation.

On the other hand, a number of authors have considered the implications of hadronic jet models. For example, Rose et al. (1984, 1987) have discussed the effects of  $e^+/e^-$  and  $p/e^-$  jets interacting with interstellar clouds. Morrison, Sadun, and Roberts (1984) have also considered the observational signatures of hadronic jets interacting with interstellar clouds, while Dar and Laor (1997) calculated the possible hadronic jet production of TEV gamma-ray flares from blazars.

Bednarek and Protheroe (1999) have discussed  $\gamma$ -ray and neutrino flares produced by protons accelerated on an accretion disc surface in active galactic nuclei. Beall and Bednarek (1999) have also considered the observational effects of the hadronic jet model for gamma-ray production in blazars.

Given the radically different propagation lengths that seem to obtain in Seyfert vs. elliptical galaxies, it is natural to ask whether or not the jets in Seyferts are the same as those in ellipticals.

If so, why do they not propagate for extended distances? In other words, do we believe:

– the fizzled jet model - the engine is different,

or

– the frazzled jet model - the environment is different.

From the point of view of energy transport, a natural hypothesis to explain the differences between jets in ellipticals and Seyferts would be that the jets in ellipticals have a mixed composition of hadrons and leptons, while jets in Seyferts have only leptons.

A principle step toward deciding this will be a determination of the constitution of the early jet (i.e. do electrons and positrons get accelerated first, or are protons part of the picture?).

Multi-wavelength studies such as those undertaken by Hartman et al. (2001), for the Blazar, 3C279, and the studies reported by Sambruna (2001) and Catanese(2001), and by Sikora and Madejski (2001) can help to answer these questions. But the definitive determination of the early acceleration of hadrons may come only with the detection of neutrino radiation from these sources.

## 6. Energy Loss Processes:

There are a number of well-known loss mechanisms that extract energy from moving particles. Here, we discuss both collisional and collisionless processes.

### 6.1. Particle Energy Loss Mechanisms:

Estimates of particle-particle and particle-photon energy losses can be obtained from a perusal of Jackson (1962). For a particle of charge  $z$  traversing a gas of density  $N$  with  $Z$  electrons/atom, the energy loss is given by

$$dE_{coll}/dx = -4\pi NZ(z^2 e^4/mv^2) \ln B, \text{ ergs/cm, where } B = \gamma^2 mv^3/ze^2\omega \quad (4)$$

where  $\gamma = E/mc^2$ ,  $\omega$  is a characteristic atomic frequency,  $m$  is the mass of the incident particle,  $N$  is the number of atoms per unit volume with charge  $Z$ ,  $e$  is the electron charge, and  $ze$  is the charge of the incident particle.

Non-relativistic Bremsstrahlung losses can be estimated by

$$dE_{non-rel-rad}/dx = -(16/3)NZ^2(e^2/\hbar c)(z^4 e^4/Mc^2) \quad (5)$$

where  $e^2/\hbar c$  is the fine-structure constant.

By way of comparison, we note that for relativistic bremsstrahlung, the loss in ergs/cm is

$$dE_{rel-rad}/dx = -(16/3)NZ^2(e^2/\hbar c)E \ln b, \text{ where } b = (\lambda 192M/mz^{1/2}), \quad (6)$$

and  $\lambda$  is a quantum-mechanical fudge factor of order unity. It is interesting to note that the equation above has the form

$$dE/dx = -E/Xo \quad (7)$$

There are two collisional more energy loss mechanisms relevant to our discussion: synchrotron and inverse Compton losses. Schott (1912) shows the energy loss of a particle due to the synchrotron mechanism as

$$dE_{sync}/dx = -(2e^4/3m^2 c^4)\gamma^2 H^2 \quad (8)$$

where  $H$  is the magnetic field intensity. This equation can be rewritten as

$$dE_{sync}/dx = -(8\pi/3)\sigma_T \gamma^2 u_H \quad (9)$$

with  $u_H$  expressing the energy density of the magnetic field. Written in this form, the expression for the energy loss associated with synchrotron emission is similar the the energy loss formula for inverse Compton scattering, which is (Felten and Morrison 1963,66)

$$dE_{ic}/dx = -\sigma_T \gamma^2 u_{ph}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\sigma_T$  is the Thompson cross-section and  $u_{ph}$  is the photon energy density of the radiation field.

It is helpful to note that the output frequency of the scattered photon is the inverse Compton process is

$$\nu_f \sim \gamma^2 \nu_i, \quad (11)$$

and depends on the scattering angle of the photon-electron interaction. Blue and Red Blazars are thought to arise from the differing input frequency,  $\nu_i$ , in this process.

In addition to radiative and collisional processes for electrons, hadronic interactions can play a significant role for some observations. The propagation lengths associated with hadronic interactions is typically much longer than the scale lengths shown above. For nuclear cross sections, the scale length can be written as

$$L_{nuclear} = 1/\sigma_{pp} * n_p h \quad (12)$$

where the nuclear collisional cross-sections are small compared to the electromagnetic cross-sections

In addition to the interaction of fast particles with other particles and radiation fields in the source, significant losses can occur by collective or plasma processes.

## 6.2. Collisionless (Plasma) Losses

Rose et al. (1984, 1987) suggest that the interaction of relativistic particles with dense interstellar clouds could account for the variability and flux of the hard x-ray and  $\gamma$ -ray sources in active galaxies, and discussed in detail the mechanisms of energy loss for a relativistic, low-density beam of electrons, electrons and positrons, or electrons and protons as it interacts with clouds in the BLR and NLR of AGN.

As the beam of relativistic particles deposits energy in the ambient medium via the generation of electrostatic plasma waves, a number of important physical processes are operant. The material in the jet cone or cylinder suffers periodic acceleration as the two-stream instability generates regions of high electric field intensity which then further ‘sweep out’ electrons (and eventually background atoms) from the region where the high electric fields are generated. These ‘cavitons’ are low-density, microscopic structures that have a net motion with respect to the ambient medium. During the time when they form, evolve, and then collapse (much like a wave breaking on a shore), they transfer momentum to the ambient medium in the direction of the jet’s motion.

In order to calculate the propagation length of the electron-proton jet described above, we model the interaction of the relativistic jet with the ambient medium through which it propagates by means of a set of coupled, partial differential equations which describe the growth, saturation, and decay of the three wave modes likely to be produced by the jet-medium interaction. First, two-stream instability produces a plasma wave,  $W_1$ , called the resonant wave, which grows initially at a rate  $\Gamma_1 = (\sqrt{3}/2\gamma)(n_b/2n_p)^{1/3}\omega_p$ , where  $\gamma$  is the Lorentz factor of the beam,  $n_b$  and  $n_p$  are the beam and cloud number densities, respectively, and  $\omega_p$  is the plasma frequency, as described more fully in Rose et al. (1984).

$$dE_{plasma}/dx = -(1/n_b v_b)(d\alpha\epsilon_1/dt), \quad (13)$$

can be obtained by determining the change in  $\gamma$  of a factor of 2 with the integration

$$\int d\gamma = - \int [d(\alpha\epsilon_1)/dt]/(v_b n_b m' c^2) \quad (14)$$

as shown in Rose et al., 1978 and Beall 1990. Thus,

$$L_p = ((1/2)\gamma c n_b m c^2)/(d\alpha\epsilon_1/dt) \quad (15)$$

is the characteristic propagation length for collisionless losses for an electron or electron-positron jet. In many astrophysical cases, this is the dominant energy loss mechanism.

Beall and Bednarek (1999) note that collisionless processes can change the output spectrum of hadronic and inverse Compton interactions.

## 7. Concluding Remarks

If jets are hadronic (a scenario that would help with the energy transport problem), then they probably also have a significant  $e^+/e^-$  component that will “fill in” to account some of the observed radiation. Furthermore, the detection of neutrinos from jet sources would directly suggest an hadronic component at relativistic (i.e. early) stages of jet formation. Such an hypothesis is consistent with Eichler’s (1979) suggestion of using neutrinos as a probe of AGN.

Bednarek and Protheroe (1999) have calculated the likelihood of  $\gamma$ -ray and neutrino flares produced by protons accelerated on an accretion disc surface in active galactic nuclei, while Waxman and Bahcall have estimated the neutrino flux from AGN based on the assumption of isotropy of neutrino emission.

Estimates of effects of jet production of neutrino emission should be of interest, since anisotropy in the source might make the emitted flux of neutrinos brighter. In this regard, neutrino instruments are coming online (see, e.g., Gaisser, Halzen, & Stanev, 1995, and Frichter, Ralston, & McKay, 1996, and Halzen, 1998).

In terms of modeling the interactions of the jets with the ambient medium, one should keep in mind the remarkable range of size scales which to some extent determine the method used to model the jet-ambient-medium interaction. Large-scale jets ( $> 1$  kpc) Hydrodynamic processes, MHD processes, while Medium-scale jets ( $10$  pc –  $> 1$  kpc) relativistic hydrodynamics models. Small-scale jets ( $< 10$  pc) that have one-sided superluminal sources most likely contain bulk relativistic motion.

Given that the dominant energy loss mechanisms are associated with electrostatic plasma waves for the small-scale jets, it is necessary to take into account the growth of these instabilities in any modeling. PIC codes are perhaps the only way to proceed in such a situation. They can be fully relativistic, and can provide information of changes in distribution function of the gas. On the other hand, PIC codes are computationally intensive. to say the least, for realistic astrophysical parameters

Benchmarking of a wave-population code within the PIC code parameter range can yield a wave-population code model for plasma wave interactions produced by jet-cloud interaction (Rose, Guillory, and Beall 2001).

## References

- Beall and Bednarek, 1999: *Astrophys. J.* **510**, 188.  
Beall et al. 1978: *Astrophys. J.* **219**, 836.  
Beall and Rose 1981: *Astrophys. J.* **238**, 539.  
Bednarek and Protheroe 1999: *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **302**, 373.  
Begelman, M.C. and Kirk, J.G. 1990: *Astrophys. J.* **353**, 66.  
Begelman, M.C., Blandford, R.D., and Rees, M.J. 1984: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **56**, 283.  
Begelman, M.C., Blandford, R.D., Rees, M.J., 1984: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **56**, 255.  
Bower, G. et. al 1995: *Astrophys. J.* **454**, 106.  
Blanford, R.D. and Znajek, R. 1977: *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **179**, 433.  
Blundell, K., et al. 1999: *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **468**, LL91.  
Burbidge, G. 1956: *Astrophys. J.* **124**, 416.  
Catanese, M., et al. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**, 116.  
Cui, W. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**, 272.  
Cui, W. 2001: these proceedings.  
Dar and Laor, 1997: *Astrophys. J.* **478**, L5  
Eichler, D. 1979: *Astrophys. J.* **232**, 106  
Falcke, H., Markoff, S., and Biermann, P. 2001: *Publ. Astr. Soc. Pacific* **227**, 56.  
Feigelson et al 1991: *Astrophys. J.* **251**, 31.  
Felten, J. 1968: *Astrophys. J.* **151**, 861.  
Frichter, Ralston, and McKay, 1996: *Phys Rev D*.  
Gaisser, Halzen, and Stanev, 1995: *Phys. Rev* **258**, 173  
Halzen, F. 1999: *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **77**, 474-485.  
Hardee, P. 1987: *Astrophys. J.* **318**, 78.  
Hardt et al 1998: *Astron. Astrophys.* **340**, 35.  
Hartman, et al. 2001: *Astrophys. J.* **558**, 583  
Henri, Pelletier, and Roland 1993: *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **404**, LL41  
Inoue, S. and Sasaki, S. 2001: *Astrophys. J.* **562**, 618.  
Kameno, S. 2001: *Publ. Astron. Soc. Japan* **53**, 169.  
Kundt, W. 2001: these proceedings.  
Lovelace, R., Li, H., Koldoba, A. V., Ustyugova, G. V., and Romanova, M. 2002: *Astrophys. J.* **572**, 445.  
MacDonald, Thorne, Price, and Zhang 1986: *The Membrane Paradigm* (Yale: Thorn, Price, and MacDonald, eds), 121.  
Melia and Konigl, 1989: *Astrophys. J.* **340**, 162  
Mirabel et al. 1992: *NAT* 358, 215.  
Morrison, P., Sadun, A., and Roberts, D. 1984: *Astrophys. J.* **280,483**,  
Ostrowski, M. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**, 387.  
Panagia, N. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**, 88.  
Parades, J. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**, 330.  
Rose, W.K., et al. 1984: *Astrophys. J.* **280**, 550.  
Rose, W.K., et al. 1987: *Astrophys. J.* **314**, 95.  
Sambruna, R., et al. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**, 181.  
Sambruna, R., et al. 2001: these proceedings.

Subramanian. P., Becker, P., & Kazanas, D. 1999: *Astrophys. J.* **552**,, 209.  
Tsvetanov, et al, 1998: *Astrophys. J.* **293**,, L83.  
Ulvestad et al 1999: *Astrophys. J.* **516**, .  
Vittone, A., et al. 2002: *Mem. Soc. Astron. It.* **72**,, 232.  
Waxman, E. and Bahcall, J. 1998: *Phys. Rev. D.* **59**, 023002.  
Xie, Liu, and Wang, 1995: *Astrophys. J.* **454**,, 50.

## DISCUSSION

**D. FARGION:** While I like very much the “hadronic penetrability” able to transfer UHE at far jet edges, I am worried about TeV-KeV variability in short time scales: I will prefer a scenario where hadronic jet core is dressed by a thin, but efficient, layer of UHE  $e^+/e^-$  able to eject by synch. + ICS radiation, their x-TeV message.

**J. BEALL:** I agree that the jets are likely to have a significant leptonic component and would radiate principally via the leptons. In fact, the energy loss via the plasma mechanism depends upon the presence of leptons. The jet electrons and positrons lose energy via plasma processes and the hadrons drag the leptons along, providing the momentum and energy to make long propagation possible. Most of the detectable radiation would come from the leptons.

**S. INOUE:** How does this heating by plasma processes compare with shock heating?

**J. BEALL:** The heating by plasma processes evolves from an electrostatic instability and should come up earlier and faster than the shock heating. Until the shock heating evolves (much later in the jet’s life), the plasma processes should be dominant.

**M. DE PASQUALE:** Could Blazars like Markarian 621 and Mkn 501 produce their TeV photons by p-p collisions in their jets?

**J. BEALL:** The principal role of the hadrons in the jets would be to provide the momentum and kinetic energy for extended propagation. The p-p collisions can produce radiation via pion production and their subsequent decay at energies of order  $10^1$  GeV and greater. It is very likely that the associated  $e^+/e^-$  in the jets will contribute significantly to the emitted radiation at lower energies.